



For Release: Monday, December 16, 2019

19-1875-DAL

SOUTHWEST INFORMATION OFFICE: Dallas, Texas

Technical information: (972) 850-4800 BLSInfoDallas@bls.gov www.bls.gov/regions/southwest

Media contact: (972) 850-4800

County Employment and Wages in Oklahoma – Second Quarter 2019

Employment rose in Oklahoma's three large counties from June 2018 to June 2019, the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics reported today. (Large counties are those with annual average employment levels of 75,000 or more in 2018.) Assistant Commissioner for Regional Operations Stanley W. Suchman noted that employment increased 1.7 percent over the year in Cleveland County, 1.1 percent in Tulsa County, and 0.9 percent in Oklahoma County. (See table 1.)

Employment nationwide advanced 1.1 percent during the 12-month period as 279 of the 355 largest U.S. counties registered increases. Adams, CO, had the largest percentage increase in the country, up 5.3 percent over the year. Bay, FL, experienced the largest over-the-year percentage decrease in employment with a loss of 6.4 percent.

Among the three largest counties in Oklahoma, employment was highest in Oklahoma County (463,800) in June 2019. The counties of Tulsa and Cleveland had employment levels of 362,600 and 82,100, respectively. Together, the three largest Oklahoma counties accounted for 56.1 percent of total employment within the state. Nationwide, the 355 largest counties made up 73.4 percent of total U.S. employment.

All three large Oklahoma counties experienced average weekly wage gains from the second quarter of 2018 to the second quarter of 2019, with the fastest rates of increase in Cleveland and Oklahoma Counties, both up 3.0 percent. In Tulsa County, average weekly wages increased 2.4 percent. (See table 1.) Oklahoma County had the highest average weekly wage among the state's largest counties at \$1,000. Nationally, the average weekly wage increased 3.8 percent from a year ago to \$1,095 in the second quarter of 2019.

Employment and wage levels (but not over-the-year changes) are also available for the 74 counties in Oklahoma with employment below 75,000. Wage levels in all of these smaller counties were below the national average in June 2019. (See table 2.)

Large county wage changes

The 3.0-percent annual average weekly wage gains in Cleveland and Oklahoma Counties tied for 220th among the nation's 355 largest counties in the second quarter of 2019. The 2.4-percent wage gain in Tulsa County ranked 272nd. (See table 1.)

Nationally, 347 of the 355 largest counties had over-the-year wage increases. Benton, AR, had the largest percentage wage increase in the nation, up 16.3 percent. San Francisco, CA, had the second largest increase at 15.5 percent.

Nationwide, eight large counties registered wage declines during the period. McLean, IL, had the largest percentage decrease in average weekly wages with a loss of 5.8 percent.

Large county average weekly wages

Weekly wages in the state's three large counties were all below the national average of \$1,095 in the second quarter of 2019. Average weekly wages in Oklahoma County (\$1,000) and Tulsa County (\$964) ranked 174th and 195th, respectively, near the middle of the national ranking. The average weekly wage in Cleveland County (\$800) ranked 340th, near the bottom of the 355 largest U.S. counties. (See table 1.)

More than 70 percent of the largest U.S. counties (260) reported average weekly wages below the national average in the second quarter of 2019. At \$649 a week, Horry County, SC, had the lowest average weekly wage among the 355 large counties. The next three lowest-paying large counties were in Texas: Hidalgo (\$657), Cameron (\$659), and Webb (\$697).

Nationwide, average weekly wages were higher than the U.S. average in 93 of the 355 largest counties. Santa Clara, CA, held the top position with an average weekly wage of \$2,612. San Francisco, CA, was second with an average weekly wage of \$2,430, followed by San Mateo, CA (\$2,373) and New York, NY (\$2,109). Average wages in the highest-ranked county, Santa Clara, CA, were more than four times the average wage in the lowest-ranked county, Horry, SC (\$649).

Average weekly wages in Oklahoma's smaller counties

All 74 smaller counties in Oklahoma – those with employment below 75,000 – reported average weekly wages below the national average of \$1,095. Among these smaller counties, Dewey posted the highest weekly wage, \$1,010, followed by Grant (\$985), Beckham (\$958) and Woodward (\$953). Haskell County reported the lowest average wage in the state at \$590 per week, followed by Greer County at \$609 per week. (See table 2.)

When all 77 counties in Oklahoma were considered, 21 reported average weekly wages of less than \$700, 24 registered wages from \$700 to \$799, 21 had wages from \$800 to \$899, and 11 had average weekly wages of \$900 or more. (See chart 1.) The higher-paying counties were located in and around the Oklahoma City and Tulsa metropolitan areas, as well as the smaller areas of Elk City and Woodward. The lower-paying counties, those with weekly wages under \$700, were concentrated in the in the southern and eastern portions of the state.

Additional statistics and other information

QCEW data for states have been included in this release in table 3. For additional information about quarterly employment and wages data, please read the Technical Note or visit www.bls.gov/cew.

Employment and Wages Annual Averages Online features comprehensive information by detailed industry on establishments, employment, and wages for the nation and all states. The 2018 edition of this publication, which was published in September 2019, contains selected data produced by Business Employment Dynamics (BED) on job gains and losses, as well as selected data from the first quarter 2019 version of the national news release. Tables and additional content from Employment and Wages Annual Averages Online are now available at www.bls.gov/cew/publications/employment-and-wages-annual-averages/2018/home.htm.

The County Employment and Wages release for third quarter 2019 is scheduled to be released on Thursday, February 20, 2020.

Technical Note

Average weekly wage data by county are compiled under the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) program, also known as the ES-202 program. The data are derived from summaries of employment and total pay of workers covered by state and federal unemployment insurance (UI) legislation and provided by State Workforce Agencies (SWAs). The average weekly wage values are calculated by dividing quarterly total wages by the average of the three monthly employment levels of those covered by UI programs. The result is then divided by 13, the number of weeks in a quarter. It is to be noted, therefore, that over-the-year wage changes for geographic areas may reflect shifts in the composition of employment by industry, occupation, and such other factors as hours of work. Thus, wages may vary among counties, metropolitan areas, or states for reasons other than changes in the average wage level. Data for all states, Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), counties, and the nation are available on the BLS Web site at www.bls.gov/cew/; however, data in QCEW press releases have been revised (see Technical Note below) and may not match the data contained on the Bureau's Web site.

QCEW data are not designed as a time series. QCEW data are simply the sums of individual establishment records reflecting the number of establishments that exist in a county or industry at a point in time. Establishments can move in or out of a county or industry for a number of reasons—some reflecting economic events, others reflecting administrative changes.

The preliminary QCEW data presented in this release may differ from data released by the individual states as well as from the data presented on the BLS Web site. These potential differences result from the states' continuing receipt, review and editing of UI data over time. On the other hand, differences between data in this release and the data found on the BLS Web site are the result of adjustments made to improve over-the-year comparisons. Specifically, these adjustments account for administrative (noneconomic) changes such as a correction to a previously reported location or industry classification. Adjusting for these administrative changes allows users to more accurately assess changes of an economic nature (such as a firm moving from one county to another or changing its primary economic activity) over a 12-month period. Currently, adjusted data are available only from BLS press releases.

Information in this release will be made available to sensory impaired individuals upon request. Voice phone: (202) 691-5200; Federal Relay Service: (800) 877-8339.

Table 1. Covered employment and wages in the United States and the 3 largest counties in Oklahoma, second quarter 2019

Area	Employment			Average weekly wage (1)				
	June 2019 (thousands)	Percent change, June 2018-19 ⁽²⁾	National ranking by percent change ⁽³⁾	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level ⁽³⁾	Percent change, second quarter 2018-19 (2)	National ranking by percent change ⁽³⁾	
United States (4)	149,089.2	1.1		\$1,095		3.8		
Oklahoma	1,618.5	0.5		900	41	3.1	39	
Cleveland, OK	82.1	1.7	97	800	340	3.0	220	
Oklahoma, OK	463.8	0.9	174	1,000	174	3.0	220	
Tulsa, OK	362.6	1.1	150	964	195	2.4	272	

Footnotes:

- (1) Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.
- (2) Percent changes were computed from quarterly employment and pay data adjusted for noneconomic county reclassifications.
- (3) Ranking does not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.
- (4) Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

Note: Data are preliminary. Covered employment and wages includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

Table 2. Covered employment and wages in the United States and all counties in Oklahoma, 2nd quarter 2019

Area	Employment June 2019	Average weekly wage (1)		
United States (2)	149,089,158	\$1,095		
Oklahoma	. 1,618,477	900		
Adair	4,404	653		
Alfalfa	. 1,491	832		
Atoka	3,207	651		
Beaver	1,643	905		
Beckham	9,739	958		
Blaine	1	848		
Bryan		761		
Caddo	1	794		
Canadian	36,587	904		
Carter		814		
Cherokee	1	689		
Choctaw	1	657		
Cimarron	1	687		
Cleveland	82,098	800		
Coal		879		
Comanche	1	768		
Cotton	1	675		
Craig	1	723		
Creek		877		
Custer		819		
Delaware	1	650		
Dewey	1	1,010		
Ellis		787		
Garfield	. 24,414	830		
Garvin		907		
Grady		790		
Grant	. 1,357	985		
Greer	. 1,002	609		
Harmon	. 665	653		
Harper	. 995	728		
Haskell	3,393	590		
Hughes	2,949	662		
Jackson	. 9,592	809		
Jefferson	. 1,082	633		
Johnston	. 2,948	689		
Kay	. 17,789	797		
Kingfisher	. 7,385	948		
Kiowa	. 1,806	676		
Latimer	. 2,396	792		
LeFlore		755		
Lincoln		773		
Logan	7,658	700		
Love				
	1	817		
Marshall	1	753		
Mayes		857		
McClain		748		
McCurtain		707		
McIntosh		675		
· ·				
Murray		686		
Muskogee		828		
Noble		895		
Nowata	1	703		
Okfuskee	. 2,532	721		

Note: See footnotes at end of table.

Table 2. Covered employment and wages in the United States and all counties in Oklahoma, 2nd quarter 2019 - Continued

Area	Employment June 2019	Average weekly wage (1)	
Oklahoma	463,766	1,000	
Okmulgee	9,194	762	
Osage	6,704	732	
Ottawa	12,348	673	
Pawnee	3,454	740	
Payne	33,060	811	
Pittsburg	16,124	893	
Pontotoc	18,920	806	
Pottawatomie	22,184	710	
Pushmataha	2,311	657	
Roger Mills	871	798	
Rogers	27,655	879	
Seminole	7,312	752	
Sequoyah	9,945	630	
Stephens	14,646	863	
Texas	9,686	789	
Tillman	1,707	699	
Tulsa	362,567	964	
Wagoner	9,520	833	
Washington	19,111	941	
Washita	2,043	811	
Woods	3,349	846	
Woodward	8,705	953	

Footnotes:

Note: Covered employment and wages includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs. Data are preliminary.

⁽¹⁾ Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.

⁽²⁾ Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

Table 3. Covered employment and wages by state, second quarter 2019

	Emplo	pyment		Average wee	ekly wage (1)	
State	June 2019 (thousands)	Percent change, June 2018-19	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level	Percent change, second quarter 2018-19	National ranking by percent change
United States (2)	149,089.2	1.1	\$1,095		3.8	-
Alabama	1,993.7	1.1	911	36	3.4	2
Alaska	338.9	0.7	1,078	15	3.6	2
Arizona	2,843.3	2.6	1,010	23	3.8	1
Arkansas	1,222.5	0.6	862	47	4.6	
California		1.5	1,325	4	4.7	
Colorado		2.2	1,128	9	4.9	
Connecticut	1	-0.8	1,266	6	3.9	1
Delaware		0.8	1,057	17	3.4	2
District of Columbia	1	0.5	1,778	1	3.8	- 1
Florida	1	1.8	968	26	3.9	1
Georgia		1.7	1,016	22	3.9	1
Hawaii		-1.2	992	24	3.7	. 2
Idaho		2.6	820	50	3.3	3
Illinois	1	0.3	1,122	10	2.4	4
Indiana		0.5	910	38	3.1	3
lowa	1	0.1	902	40	2.5	4
Kansas		0.6	905	39	2.8	4
Kentucky		0.3	911	36	3.3	3
Louisiana	1 '	-0.2	923	35	2.4	4
Maine	1	0.4	874	45	3.7	2
Maryland	1	0.7	1,178	8	3.3	3
Massachusetts	1	0.7	1,170	2	4.3	3
Michigan	1 '	0.9	1,018	21	2.4	4
Minnesota	1 '	0.8	1,101	13	2.6	4
Mississippi	1	0.4	767	51	2.0	5
Missouri		0.3	948	30	2.5	4
Montana		1.0	843	48	3.3	3
Nebraska	1	0.1	889	42	3.5	2
Nevada	1	2.6	961	29	3.2	3
New Hampshire	1	0.8	1,090	14	4.0	1
New Jersey		0.7	1,236	7	3.0	4
New Mexico	1	1.0	888	44	4.3	7
New York	1	1.0	1,347	3	3.9	1
North Carolina	1	2.0	970	25	3.9	1
North Dakota	1	1.3	1,026	20	4.1	'
Ohio	1	0.4	965	27	3.4	2
Oklahoma		0.5	900	41	3.4	3
	1	1.3	1,036	18	3.8	1
Oregon	1	0.8	1,070	16	3.8	1
Pennsylvania Rhode Island	1	0.8	1,070	19	3.4	2
South Carolina	1	1.3	867	46	3.7	2
	1			49	3.8	1
South Dakota	1	0.4	838 964	28		3
Tennessee	1	1.8		_	3.3	
Texas	1	2.0	1,102	12	3.8	1
Utah	1 '	3.0	936	32	4.1	4
Vermont		0.0	929	34	2.7	4
Virginia	1	1.0	1,113	11	3.7	2
Washington	1	1.8	1,288	5	5.9	,
West Virginia		-0.6	889	42	2.4	4
Wisconsin	1	0.3	940	31	4.1	0
Wyoming	287.6 867.7	1.7	932 531	(3)	3.4 -1.8	2

Note: See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3. Covered employment and wages by state, second quarter 2019 - Continued

	Employment		Average weekly wage (1)				
State	June 2019 (thousands)	Percent change, June 2018-19	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level	Percent change, second quarter 2018-19	National ranking by percent change	
Virgin Islands	37.0	10.0	919	(3)	8.8	(3)	

Footnotes:

- (1) Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.
- (2) Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.
- (3) Data not included in the national ranking.

Note: Data are preliminary. Covered employment and wages includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

Chart 1. Average weekly wages by county in Oklahoma, second quarter 2019

